

LINITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

ا ت	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Magistrate Case No. 07MJ2949-WMC
10	Plaintiff,) v. DETENTION ORDER
11	CECILIA LISBETH MUNOZ)
12	Defendant.)
13)
14 15	A. Order For Detention After conducting a detention hearing pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail Reform Act, the Court orders the above-named defendant detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) and (i).
16 17	B. Statement of Reasons For The Detention The Court orders the defendant's detention because it finds: By a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions
18	will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
19 20 21 22	C. Finding Of Fact The Court's findings are based on the evidence which was presented to Court and that which was contained in the pretrial Services report, and includes the following:
23 24	(b) The offense is a crime of violence (c) The offense involves a narcotic drug.
25 26	(d) The offense involves a large amount of controlled substances, to wit: (2) The weight of the evidence against the defendant is strong.
20 27	(3) The history and characteristics of the defendant including: (a) General Factors:
28	The defendant appears to have a mental condition which may affect whether the defendant will appear. The defendant has no family ties in the area.

		The defendant has no stoody and learness
		The defendant has no steady employment.
		The defendant has no substantial financial resources. The defendant is not a long time resident of the community.
		The defendant does not have any significant community ties.
		Past conduct of the defendant: Multiple altanting at the
		Under involving attempted bringing of children
		The defendant has a history relating to drug abuse.
		The defendant has a history relating to alcohol abuse.
		The defendant has a significant prior criminal record.
		The defendant has a prior record of failure to appear at court proceedings.
	(b)	Whether the defendant was on probation, parole, or release by a court:
•	(0)	At the time of the current arrest, the defendant was on:
		Probation
		Parole
		Release pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of sentence.
	(c)	Other Factors:
		The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to deportation.
		The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to deportation if convicted,
		Other; She has a his Try of orniging constl Children
		whents by morrey inchesting Thinks in the last 13 mis
	an l	1 C.1 L L L. C dent's malesco and
(4)	The	e nature and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's release are follows: She appears to be a habitual violation in light of
	as 1	
		her mor ded has at the tank
		he pro detendons at the sont
(5)	Rel	buttable Presumptions
(5)	Rel In o	buttable Presumptions determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also
(5)	Rel In o	buttable Presumptions determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also led on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18
(5)	Rel In o	buttable Presumptions determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also led on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted:
(5)	Rel In o	buttable Presumptions determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also led on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: That no condition or combination of conditions will
(5)	Rel In o	buttable Presumptions determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also led on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required
(5)	Rel In o	buttable Presumptions determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also led on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because
(5)	Rel In o	buttable Presumptions determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also led on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves:
(5)	Rel In o	buttable Presumptions determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also led on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because
(5)	Rel In o	buttable Presumptions determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also led on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves: (A) A crime of violence; or(B) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; or
(5)	Rel In o	buttable Presumptions determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also led on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves: (A) A crime of violence; or(B) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; or(C) A controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty
(5)	Rel In o	buttable Presumptions determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also led on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves: (A) A crime of violence; or(B) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; or(C) A controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more; or
(5)	Rel In o	buttable Presumptions determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also led on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves: (A) A crime of violence; or(B) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; or(C) A controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more; or(D) A felony after the defendant had
(5)	Rel In o	buttable Presumptions determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also led on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves: (A) A crime of violence; or(B) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; or(C) A controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more; or(D) A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two or more
(5)	Rel In o	buttable Presumptions determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also led on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves: (A) A crime of violence; or(B) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; or(C) A controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more; or(D) A felony after the defendant had
(5)	Rel In o	buttable Presumptions determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also ed on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves: (A) A crime of violence; or
(5)	Rel In o	buttable Presumptions determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also ed on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves: (A) A crime of violence; or
(5)	Rel In o	buttable Presumptions determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also ed on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves:
(5)	Rel In o	buttable Presumptions determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also ed on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves:
(5)	Rel In o	buttable Presumptions determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also led on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves:
(5)	Reb In oreli U.S a.	buttable Presumptions determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also ed on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves:
(5)	Rel In o	buttable Presumptions determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also ed on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves: (A) A crime of violence; or (B) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; or (C) A controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more; or (D) A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior offenses described in (A) through (C) above, and the defendant has a prior conviction for one of the crimes mentioned in (A) through (C) above which is less than five years old and which was committed while the defendant was on pretrial release. That no condition or combination of conditions will
(5)	Reb In oreli U.S a.	buttable Presumptions determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also ed on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves:

1	(A) That the defendant has committed a controlled substance
2	violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more. (B) That the defendant has committed
ا ر	an offense under 18 U.S.C.
3	§ 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during and in relation to any
4	crime of violence, including a
5	crime of violence, which provides for an enhanced punishment if
_	committed by the use of a deadly
6	or dangerous weapon or device). D. Additional Directives
7	Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:
8	The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving
	sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and
9	The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and,
10	That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is
11	confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance
12	in connection with a court proceeding.
1	This order is made without prejudice to modification by this Court and without prejudice to the
13	Defendant's exercise of the right to bail.
14	Details - December 27, 2007
1	Dated: December 27, 2007
15	
	LEO S. PAPAS
16	
	LEO S. PAPAS
16	LEO S. PAPAS
16 17 18	LEO S. PAPAS
16 17 18 19	LEO S. PAPAS
16 17 18 19 20	LEO S. PAPAS
16 17 18 19	LEO S. PAPAS
16 17 18 19 20	LEO S. PAPAS
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LEO S. PAPAS
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LEO S. PAPAS
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	LEO S. PAPAS
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LEO S. PAPAS
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	LEO S. PAPAS
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	LEO S. PAPAS
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	LEO S. PAPAS